



## WILLTURKISH STREAM BE THE ONE THAT WILL SAVE MACEDONIA'S ENERGY FUTURE?

**APRIL 2015** 

**THE** short answer to the title's questions is no. Turkish stream or the successor to the unfortunate and dead-before-it-began South Stream project is the newest grand plan of Russia (Picture 1). The idea is to circumvent the Ukraine and keep Europe and especially the Balkans under the monopoly of Russian gas which is also a key strategic move in the play among the East and West over who will have more influence in the region (The developments in Ukraine will continue making the headlines across Europe as the situation continues to deter. Its energy sector will undoubtedly continue to suffer which would generate intensified energy diplomacy between Brussels-Kiev and Moscow in order to secure gas supplies for the EU and Ukraine – The state of energy (in)security; authors Ana Stojilovska and Andreja Bogdanovski). So, what is the difference between South and Turkish Stream? Apart from Bulgaria being left out and punished by Russia for following EU's directives and replacing it with Greece and Turkey nothing much. The new leftist government in Greece and its Prime Minister Alexis Tsipras show much bigger sympathies towards Russia than what the EU would like. According to EurActiv: "new chapter opened in relations between Greece and Russia, Productive Reconstruction, Environment and Energy Minister Panagiotis Lafazanis told...after a meeting with the Russian Energy Minister Alexander Novak and Gazprom CEO Alexey Miller. Lafazanis also announced the expansion of Russia-driven Turkish Stream pipeline to Greece, saying

that the final decision on the issue will be and Hungary are EU member states, and taken by the Greek Prime Minister, based on the national interests of Athens and not the European Commission, which according to him, 'is not an uncontrolled boss of EU national governments'. Turkish Stream is a Gazprom pipeline project to bring Russian gas across the Black Sea to Turkey, and from there, to a hub at the Turkish-Greek border. One of the aims of the project is to bypass Ukraine, and another, to punish Bulgaria, which Russia blames for having obstructed the construction of South Stream". (Athens plays Russian card, eyes Turkish stream) The price however is staggering – it will cost 2 billion Euros to bring the gas from Turkey to Greece but according to Russian sources the pipeline will be built by private companies not the states themselves. In the current economic climate this seems like an unlikely venture and remains to be seen how without the support of either Russian or Greek government this can be finished.

Where do the Balkan countries including Macedonia come into this? At the beginning of April 2015 in Budapest, Hungary, there were some talks on intensifying the cooperation on Turkish stream with a meeting between Greece, Hungary, Macedonia, Serbia and Turkey who all expressed readiness to expand the pipeline from the Turkish-Greek border towards the EU. However, for now this is all just talk and not much action as there is still no clear mapping of how the pipeline will go, and whether it will be in accordance with the EU rules and directives as after all Greece

Serbia has started the negotiations for joining the EU, while Macedonia is a candidate member state. Hence, one cannot escape the EU rules no matter how lucrative the agreement with the Russians is. Another issue is the financing of the project itself due to the fact that Gazprom is under sanctions (due to the Ukrainian crisis) and finding funds or credits from banks might prove impossible in the current situation.



The financial condition of the other partners like Greece or Hungary, not the mention Macedonia and Serbia, is also far from solid when it comes to building these kinds of projects.

Thus, where does this leave Macedonia and its energy future? Again the answer is nowhere. Although many times before has been concluded that joining one or multiple pipelines will be beneficial for the maximum usage of solar energy with put-comes to households (which are second country as it will expand its usage of gas ting PV on individual houses to maximum largest consumer of energy in the counand improve its energy situation as well as usage of biomass everywhere possible to try) the preliminary conclusions are that it will phase-out of coal slowly while trans- decreasing the energy consumption itself. although the buildings sector has reduced ferring to gas power plants, the geo-political situation in Europe asks for caution thorities need to leave the traditional way to the first results, with considerable effort and looking for other options as well be- of thinking that only big power plants no (technological development and awarefore jumping on board with the Russians matter if they are hydro or coal or nuclear ness) by 2050 emissions can only be re-(authorities to continue looking for back- are the solution. With the lack of funds ev- duced to 14% compared to 1990 levels. To up options such as joining the Trans-Adriatic pipeline which should bring Azeri gas passing through Greece and Albania, ulti- that will enable secure and steady energy 2030 onwards to be built as passive houses mately reaching the shores of the Adriatic supply as well as energy savings. Analytica that have very low energy consumption, Sea and Italy. If concrete steps are taken as part of the SEE SEP project will launch, while the old buildings should be refurinto this direction this would significantly decrease country's one source dependency and should provide better access to up to 2050 where the main goal is to cut in households has greatest potential for gas for Macedonia's customers which ulti- emissions and give clean and environmen- reducing its energy consumption by 2050. mately should result in lower prices – The tally friendly alternatives to the solutions state of energy (in)security).

Balkans await cheap gas, authorities must modeling are that when it comes to elec-gy sources, not relaying on one energy take actions to secure the energy future of tricity production is necessary to gradually source, having sensible imports, investtheir countries as the demand for energy close the thermal power plants up to 2035, ing heavily in lowering energy consumpwill grow and imports, especially in Mace- and make significant increase in invest- tion especially in households, promoting donia, are continuously growing. The issue ments in renewable energy sources such aggressively energy efficiency measures, with Macedonia specifically is that it is very as solar, wind and small hydropower. How-eradicating energy poverty, etc. are all ispoor with natural energy sources like coal ever, despite the opportunities for using sues where the authorities from local comor even water and no own gas reosuces and the full technical capacity, Macedonia due munities to the Government must work has to rely on imports and be very innova- to lack of coal will have to invest in gas sta- on if they do not want to be dependent on tive if it wants to diversify its energy port- tions, in cogeneration plants, and will have any foreign power for providing the basic folio. There are few alternatives present: to provide stable energy imports. When it energy needs.□

More R&D needs to be done, and the au- its emissions from 1990 to 2010, according erywhere, one must abandon 20th century achieve a large reduction in emissions it renarratives and focus on small, local actions quires new buildings for households from almost after three years, the alternative En- bished so their energy consumption can ergy Model for Macedonia with scenarios be reduced. However, the area of lighting

The conclusion would be that no gas currently pushed by the authorities. One of pipeline will magically solve all the coun-What are the alternatives? While the the preliminary conclusions of the energy try's energy issues. Diversifying the ener-



□Written by, Sonja Zuber / sristeska@analyticamk.org Research and Management Coordinator Analytica Thinking Laboratory www.analyticamk.org / info@analyticamk.org